



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2015

Reward-dependent modulation of working memory is associated with negative symptoms in schizophrenia

Hager, Oliver M ; Kirschner, Matthias ; Bischof, Martin ; Hartmann-Riemer, Matthias N ; Kluge, Agne ; Seifritz, Erich ; Tobler, Philippe N ; Kaiser, Stefan

Abstract: The negative symptoms of schizophrenia have been associated with altered neural activity during both reward processing and cognitive processing. Even though increasing evidence suggests a strong interaction between these two domains, it has not been studied in relation to negative symptoms. To elucidate neural mechanisms of the reward-cognition interaction, we applied a letter variant of the n-back working memory task and varied the financial incentives for performance. In the interaction contrast, we found a significantly activated cluster in the rostral anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), the middle frontal gyrus, and the bilateral superior frontal gyrus. The interaction did not differ significantly between the patient group and a healthy control group, suggesting that patients with schizophrenia are on average able to integrate reward information and utilize this information to maximize cognitive performance. However within the patient group, we found a significant inverse correlation of ACC activity with the factor diminished expression. This finding is consistent with the model that a lack of available cognitive resources leads to diminished expression. We therefore argue that patients with diminished expression have difficulties in recruiting additional cognitive resources (as implemented in the ACC) in response to an anticipated reward. Due to this lack of cognitive resources, less processing capacity is available for effective expression, resulting in diminished expressive behavior.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.08.024>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-113897>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Hager, Oliver M; Kirschner, Matthias; Bischof, Martin; Hartmann-Riemer, Matthias N; Kluge, Agne; Seifritz, Erich; Tobler, Philippe N; Kaiser, Stefan (2015). Reward-dependent modulation of working memory is associated with negative symptoms in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 168(1-2):238-244.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.08.024>

Reward-Dependent Modulation of Working Memory is associated with Negative Symptoms in Schizophrenia

Oliver M. Hager^{*,a,b}, Matthias Kirschner^a, Martin Bischof^a, Matthias N. Hartmann^{a,b},
Agne Kluge^a, Erich Seifritz^{a,c,d}, Philippe N. Tobler^{b,c,d}, and Stefan Kaiser^{a,c,d}

^aDepartment of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Psychiatric Hospital, University of Zurich, Lenggstrasse 31, 8032 Zurich, Switzerland

^bLaboratory for Social and Neural Systems Research, Department of Economics, University of Zurich, Bluemlisalpstrasse 10, 8006 Zurich, Switzerland

^cNeuroscience Center Zurich, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

^dZurich Center for Integrative Human Physiology, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Psychiatric Hospital, University of Zurich, Lenggstrasse 31, 8032 Zurich, Switzerland; tel: +41 44 384 36 14; fax: +41 44 383 44 56; e-mail: oliver.hager@econ.uzh.ch

Word Count:

Abstract: 213

Text: 3135

Abstract:

The negative symptoms of schizophrenia have been associated with altered neural activity during both reward processing and cognitive processing. Even though increasing evidence suggests a strong interaction between these two domains, it has not been studied in relation to negative symptoms. To elucidate neural mechanisms of the reward-cognition interaction, we applied a letter variant of the n-back working memory task and varied the financial incentives for performance. In the interaction contrast, we found a significantly activated cluster in the rostral anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), the middle frontal gyrus, and the bilateral superior frontal gyrus. The interaction did not differ significantly between the patient group and a healthy control group, suggesting that patients with schizophrenia are on average able to integrate reward information and utilize this information to maximize cognitive performance. However within the patient group, we found a significant inverse correlation of ACC activity with the factor diminished expression. This finding is consistent with the model that a lack of available cognitive resources leads to diminished expression. We therefore argue that patients with diminished expression have difficulties in recruiting additional cognitive resources (as implemented in the ACC) in response to an anticipated reward. Due to this lack of cognitive resources, less processing capacity is available for effective expression, resulting in diminished expressive behavior.

Key words: diminished expression, apathy, emotion-cognition interaction, reward anticipation, anterior cingulate cortex

1. Introduction

Negative symptoms – comprising the domains of blunted affect, alogia, asociality, anhedonia, and avolition – are an integral component of schizophrenia. They are a strong predictor of poor prognosis and contribute to functional impairment (Azorin et al., 2014; Kirkpatrick et al., 2006; Milev et al., 2005; Rabinowitz et al., 2012). A recent consensus suggests that negative symptoms can be grouped into two factors. One factor is referred to as diminished expression, comprising blunted affect and alogia. The other factor is referred to as diminished motivation and pleasure, or apathy, and comprises asociality, anhedonia and avolition (Kring and Barch, 2014; Strauss et al., 2012). This distinction might allow a more differentiated approach in the search of underlying pathophysiological mechanisms (Blanchard and Cohen, 2006; Foussias and Remington, 2010; Liemburg et al., 2013; Messinger et al., 2011).

Negative Symptoms have been consistently associated with dysfunctional reward processing, in particular with diminished reward anticipation. On a neural level, this has been linked to a reduction in ventral striatal activity (Juckel et al., 2006; Nielsen et al., 2012; Schlagenhauf et al., 2008; Simon et al., 2010; Waltz et al., 2008). Negative symptoms have also been linked to neurocognitive deficits, although this association is rather modest (Lin et al., 2013; Milev et al., 2005; Ventura et al., 2013, 2009). The cognitive deficits, and to a lesser extent negative symptoms, have been associated with abnormal activity in the prefrontal cortex, particularly the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC; Barch and Ceaser, 2012; Manoach, 2003).

Recent work suggests that there is a strong interaction of reward anticipation with cognitive performance. Knowing that a certain cognitive effort might result in the receipt of a reward leads to the prioritization of the respective process and influences the assignment of limited cognitive resources (Beck et al., 2010; Braver et al., 2014; Kennerley and Wallis, 2009; Krawczyk et al., 2007; Locke and Braver, 2008; Rowe et al., 2008). On the neural level, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) has been suggested to play an essential role in this interaction and to act as a hub linking reward and cognition (Krebs et al., 2012; Pessoa, 2009, 2008; Vassena et al., 2014). It is presumed that the ACC receives reward information from the ventral striatum (VS), thereby enhancing cognitive performance (Holroyd and Yeung, 2012; Pessoa, 2009; Steenbergen et al., 2014). It remains unknown how negative symptoms in schizophrenia relate to the reward-cognition interaction at the neural level.

In the current study, we measured cognitive performance with a letter variant of the n-back working memory (WM) task and varied the financial incentives for the performance. We hypothesized that patients with schizophrenia would show impairments in the modulation of cognitive performance by reward and that these impairments are correlated with the severity of negative symptoms. On a neural level, we expected that the prospect of a future reward leads to the activation of the ACC as well as to a stronger activation in WM related regions in the lateral PFC. We expected that these effects are diminished in the patient group and show an inverse correlation with the severity of negative symptoms.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

We studied 29 individuals meeting Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV; American Psychiatric Association, 2000) criteria for schizophrenia (n=23) or schizoaffective disorder (n=6) and 27 healthy control subjects with no personal history of a DSM-IV axis 1 disorder. All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study, which was approved by the local Ethics committee. Patients were recruited either as inpatients (n=16) or outpatients (n=13) from the Psychiatric Hospital, University of Zurich, or from affiliated institutions. All inpatients were at the end of their hospitalization and they participated in a multimodal treatment program that encouraged them to engage in daily activities outside the hospital. All patients were clinically stable and received constant doses of medication for at least two weeks prior to testing, with the exception of one patient receiving a small increase of clozapine dose seven days before testing. Exclusion criteria included a daily lorazepam dosage greater than 1mg, florid positive symptoms, i.e. any positive subscale item score of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay et al., 1987) >4, extrapyramidal side effects, measured with the Modified Simpson-Angus Scale (MSAS; Simpson et al., 1970), >3, or any other DSM-IV axis 1 diagnosis. For confirmation, all participants were assessed using the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.; Sheehan et al., 1997).

2.2. Clinical and neuropsychological assessment

All patients were further assessed using the Brief Negative Symptom Scale (BNSS; Strauss et al., 2012), the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS; Andreasen NC, 1982), the PANSS, the Global Assessment of Functioning scale (GAF; Frances et al., 1994), the Personal and Social Performance Scale (PSP; Schaub and Juckel, 2011) and the Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDS, Addington et al., 1993). We used the BNSS as our main measurement for negative symptoms since it was designed to facilitate a clear distinction of the factors apathy and diminished expression. For the total BNSS score, the assessment of the inter-rater reliability showed an intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.97. The subscales reached ICCs from 0.87 to 0.97.

To characterize the sample and to disentangle the effects of neuropsychological functioning, the following cognitive domains were tested: verbal learning (Auditory Verbal Learning Memory Test, VLMT; Helmstaedter and Durwen, 1990), verbal and visual short-term working memory (Digit Span, DS; Stieglitz, 2000) and Corsi block-tapping test (CBT; Kessels et al., 2000), processing speed (Digit-Symbol Coding, DSC; Von Aster et al., 2006), planning (Tower of London, ToL; Shallice, 1982), and semantic and phonetic fluency (animal naming, AN; s-words, SW; Delis et al., 2001).

2.3. Functional magnetic resonance imaging

2.3.1. Imaging acquisition

Two runs containing 185 whole brain T2* weighted echo-planar images (EPI) were acquired in ascending order using a Philips Achieva 3.0T magnetic resonance scanner with a 32 channel SENSE head coil (Philips, Best, The Netherlands). Further specifications were:

3x3x3mm³ in-plane resolution, 0.5mm gap width, 240x240mm field of view, 2000ms TR, 25ms TE, flip angle 82°. Slices were aligned with the anterior-posterior commissure. The first five scans were discarded to eliminate the influence of T1 saturation effects. A T1-weighted high-resolution anatomical scan was obtained for registration: 160 sagittal plane slices, 1x1x1mm³.

2.3.2. Task and stimuli

A modified version of a previously employed letter n-back task was used (Owen et al., 2005; Pochon et al., 2002). The task was presented as a two by two factorial design with the factors cognitive load (0-back vs. 2-back) and reward (reward vs. no reward), resulting in a total of four different conditions: 0-back/reward (0R), 0-back/no reward (0N), 2-back/reward (2R), 2-back/no reward (2N). Each condition was presented four times, resulting in a total of 16 blocks. The 16 blocks were split into 2 runs. The order of presentation was equal for all subjects and as follows: 0R, 2R, 0N, 2N, 2N, 0N, 2R, 0R; 0R, 0N, 2R, 2N, 2N, 2R, 0N, 0R (see figure 1).




Figure1. Schematic view of the modified letter n-back task. In the 0-back condition, participants had to press a button whenever a pre-specified letter appeared on the screen, i.e., the letter x. In the 2-back condition, participants were required to press a button whenever the letter they saw was equal to the letter presented before the last one. In the reward condition, participants earned a monetary reward according to their performance. The maximum payment per block was 5 Swiss Francs (CHF) whereas the minimum payment was 0 CHF. The maximum payment for all 8 blocks was 40 CHF. Additionally, participants received a guaranteed amount of 10 CHF. In the no reward condition, the subjects did not receive any payment.

After the indication of the current condition, a fixation cross followed (A & B). One block consisted of 12 letter stimuli containing 4 targets. Each letter appeared for 500ms and was followed by an inter-trial interval of 1500ms (C). After the presentation of all 12 stimuli, a feedback about the performance and the monetary gain was given for 2500ms (D). A resting period of 12000ms followed after every block (E).

2.3.3. Behavioral analyses

The sensitivity index d' (Haatveit et al., 2010; Green, 1988) and reaction times were used to analyze the behavioral performance. D' is calculated as the standardized probability of a hit minus the standardized probability of a false alarm: $d' = z(\text{probability}(\text{hits})) - z(\text{probability}(\text{false alarms}))$. To test for differences in behavioral performance, d' and reaction times were entered into separate mixed-design ANOVAs with group (patient group, healthy control group) as between-subjects factor and cognition (0-back, 2-back) and reward (no reward, reward) as within-subject factors. To relate behavioral performance to psychopathological ratings of negative symptoms, we calculated Pearson's r . All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21.

2.3.4. fMRI analyses

Functional MRI data were analyzed using SPM8 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Differences in EPI slice acquisition timing were corrected using the central slice as reference. To reduce artifacts from head movements, functional images were realigned using a least squares approach and a six-parameter rigid body spatial transformation, using the first image as a reference. A voxel displacement map, calculated from double phase and magnitude field map data, was applied for a combined static and dynamic distortion correction. After co-registration, the "New Segment" toolbox was used for spatial normalization. Finally, images were smoothed using a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm width.

For our block design, we used a general linear model (GLM) with a two-stage approach. On the first stage of analysis, two levels of cognitive load (0-back/2-back) and two levels of reward (reward/no reward) were modeled. To study the cognition/reward interaction effect, i.e., the effect of reward-dependent modulation of working memory, the following contrast images were constructed: $((2\text{-back/reward}) - (0\text{-back/reward})) - ((2\text{-back/no reward}) - (0\text{-back/no reward}))$. These images were taken to the second stage of analysis for random-effects inference.

Due to our a priori hypothesis, we restricted our search volume to the PFC and ACC (Barch and Dowd, 2010; Cai and Padoa-Schioppa, 2014; Kaping et al., 2011; Kennerley and Wallis, 2009; Kennerley and Walton, 2011; Watanabe, 2007). We used the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL; Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) atlas implemented in the WFU_PickAtlas toolbox (Maldjian et al., 2004, 2003) for SPM and included the following bilateral regions to construct one single search volume: the dorsolateral and superior frontal gyrus, the (orbital) middle frontal gyrus, the opercular, triangular and orbital inferior frontal gyrus, the medial superior frontal gyrus, and the anterior part of the cingulate gyrus. Within our restricted single volume of interest, the statistical threshold was set to $FWEp=0.05$. Cluster extent was calculated based on $p<.001$ uncorrected.

To relate brain activation with psychopathological ratings in the patient group, we extracted mean beta values in the interaction contrast based on the activated clusters in the healthy control group using the REX toolbox (Whitefield-Gabrieli, 2009) and performed simple correlation analyses.

For exploratory purposes we also extracted parameter estimates in the activated clusters in the whole group (i.e. combined patients and controls) interaction contrast and calculated correlations with negative symptoms.

3. Results

3.1. Sample Characteristics

Demographic and clinical data are summarized in table 1. There were no significant group differences with regard to age, gender, handedness, and education. As expected, we found a significant group difference in the composite score of all cognitive tests. The healthy control group performed significantly better than the patient group. However, we found no significant difference in the test scores measuring working memory performance (see below).

	Patient Group (n = 29)	HC Group (n = 27)	Test Statistic (t/X ² /U) P	
Age in years	32.07 (7.26)	33.11 (9.02)	t = .478	.64
Gender (male/female)	20/9	17/10	$\chi^2 = .225$.64
Formal education in years	12.03 (3.08)	12.35 (3.45)	U = 377.5	.82
Duration of illness in months	174.03 (323.18)	–		
Number of hospitalizations	5.07 (4.36)	–		
Chlorpromazine equivalents (mg/day)	536.76 (400.96)	–		
Psychopathology				
BNSS apathy ^a	14.41 (7.22)	–		
BNSS diminished expression ^a	9.45 (8.06)	–		
SANS apathy ^b	12.14 (5.13)	–		
SANS diminished expression ^b	11.90 (10.78)	–		
PANSS positive factor ^c	6.52 (2.63)	–		
PANSS negative factor ^c	13.74 (5.38)	–		
GAF	57.41 (9.59)	–		
PSP (total)	56.97 (9.81)	–		
CDSS (total)	1.52 (2.18)	–		
Cognition				
Composite cognitive ability ^d	-.45 (.78)	0 (.49)	t = 2.583	.013

CBS forward	8.17 (1.81)	8.56 (2.04)	t = .743	.46
CBS backward	7.66 (1.84)	7.96 (1.66)	t = .653	.52
DS forward	7.31 (2.04)	7.59 (1.72)	t = .559	.58
DS backward	6.55 (1.80)	6.22 (1.34)	U = 359.5	.59

Notes: Data are presented as means and standard deviations. For normally distributed continuous and categorical variables, 2-sample t tests and chi-square were applied to test for potential group differences. If data were not normally distributed, Mann-Whitney U tests were applied.

All patients except one were receiving stable doses of atypical antipsychotic medication at the time of testing. Nine individuals were additionally receiving antidepressants, two were receiving mood-stabilizers, two patients were medicated against insomnia and one person was receiving a low dose of benzodiazepine

BNSS, Brief Negative Symptom Scale; SANS, Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; GAF, General Assessment of Functioning; PSP, Personal and Social Performance Scale; CDSS, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia; CBS, Corsi block span; DS, Digit span

P values lower than .05 are in bold

^aApathy = Anhedonia, Asociality, Avolition; diminished expression = lack of normal distress, blunted affect, alogia

^bApathy = Avolition/Apathy, Anhedonia/Asociality; diminished expression = Affective Flattening or blunting, Alogia

^cPositive factor = P1, P3, P5, G9; negative factor = N1, N2, N3, N4, N6, G7

^dCognition data have been standardized based on the HC group

3.2. Behavioral Data

In the n-back task, the main effect of group on sensitivity was not significant, $F(1,54)=.955$, $p=.333$. Pooling over all subjects, we found a significant main effect of the factor cognition on sensitivity, $F(1,54)=7.514$, $p=.008$. Participants performed significantly better in the 0-back condition ($\bar{x}=7.05$, $SD=.61$) relative to the 2-back condition ($\bar{x}=6.65$, $SD=.93$), meaning that the d' is significantly higher in the 0-back condition relative to the 2-back condition. The main effect of the factor reward on sensitivity and the interaction of cognition and reward was not significant, $F(1,54)=.060$, $p=.808$ and $F(1,54)=.338$, $p=.563$, respectively. All other interactions were also non-significant. We did not find any significant correlation between sensitivity and psychopathological ratings.

With regard to reaction times, we found a main effect of group, $F(1,54)=4.633$, $p=.036$, indicating that healthy control subjects were faster than patients with schizophrenia across conditions. Furthermore, across all subjects, we found a main effect of the factor cognition, $F(1,54)=43.789$, $p<.001$, indicating that participants were significantly faster in the 0-back condition ($\bar{x}=468.03$, $SD=65.04$) relative to the 2-back condition ($\bar{x}=546.64$, $SD=110.63$). We also found a main effect of the factor reward, $F(1,54)=8.656$, $p=.005$, showing that participants speeded up in the rewarded trials ($\bar{x}=499.09$, $SD=81.38$) relative to the non rewarded trials ($\bar{x}=515.58$, $SD=82.47$). The reward-cognition interaction, $F(1,54)=.007$, $p=.935$, as well as all other interactions were not significant. Furthermore, we found a significant positive correlation of BNSS apathy with the mean reaction time of the 2-back condition minus the 0-back condition ($r=.38$, $p=.042$) and with the reward-cognition

interaction term ($r=.38$, $p=.041$). All other correlations between reaction time and negative symptom scores were non-significant.

	Patient Group (n = 29)	HC Group (n = 27)
Accuracy		
0-back Reward	6.95 (.59)	7.13 (.80)
0-back No Reward	7.15 (.48)	6.99 (.92)
2-back Reward	6.48 (1.16)	6.90 (.68)
2-back No Reward	6.54 (1.34)	6.70 (.98)
Reaction Time		
0-back Reward	472.26 (72.88)	445.82 (52.49)
0-back No Reward	495.57 (74.15)	456.14 (59.62)
2-back Reward	564.43 (126.85)	511.02 (106.30)
2-back No Reward	582.33 (109.68)	524.83 (114.86)

Notes: Data are presented as means and standard deviations. Accuracy is measured as the standardized probability of a hit minus the standardized probability of a false alarm. Reaction time is measured in ms.

3.3. Imaging Data

In the whole group reward-cognition interaction contrast, we found significant activation within our volume of interest in the right superior frontal gyrus (rSFG; $x=17$, $y=21$, $z=58$; $k=910$, $t=6.13$, $FWEp<.001$), the left superior frontal gyrus (lSFG; $x=-18$, $y=33$, $z=42$, $k=567$, $t=5.33$, $FWEp<.001$), the right rostral cingulate cortex (rACC; $x=9$, $y=44$, $z=1$, $k=1018$, $t=5.32$, $FWEp<.001$), and the medial superior frontal gyrus (mSFG; $x=8$, $y=68$, $z=18$, $k=267$, $t=5.15$, $FWEp<.001$), when working memory performance was rewarded compared to when it was not rewarded (see figure 2A). These regions could therefore be involved in integrating reward and cognition.

Next we looked at the groups separately and tested for activation differences. Within the healthy control group, we found a cluster in the right rostral anterior cingulate cortex (rACC; $x=9$, $y=44$, $z=1$, $k=88$; $t=5.91$, $FWEp=.047$) that showed significantly more activation in the interaction contrast (see figure 2B). This cluster was further used for our correlation analyses. The according parameter estimates are shown in supplementary figure 1. The patient group showed significant activation in the right superior frontal gyrus (rSFG; $x=23$, $y=15$, $z=55$; $k=661$; $t=7.21$, $FWEp=.002$) within this interaction contrast (See figure 2C). However, we did not find any significant differences between the two groups, in line with the

absence of a behavioral difference. In addition to the analysis in our a priori defined volume of interest, we also performed whole brain analyses using the same statistical thresholds (see supplementary table 1), which did not reveal any additional clusters.




Figure 2. Group activation maps of the contrast rewarded WM vs. non-rewarded WM: ((2-back/reward – 0-back/reward) – (2-back/no reward – 0-back/no reward)) for all subjects (A), healthy controls (B), and patients with schizophrenia (C). The search volume was restricted to the PFC and ACC. Please note that there were no significant differences between groups. The statistical threshold was set FWE_p = 0.05. The cluster extend was based on $p < .001$, uncorrected.

3.4. Correlation analyses

Within the patient group, ACC activation in the reward-cognition interaction contrast correlated negatively with BNSS diminished expression ($r(29)=-.393$, $p=.035$). The correlation with SANS diminished expression reached trend-level significance ($r(29)=-.365$, $p=.052$). In contrast, the correlation between percent signal change in the ACC and BNSS apathy as well as SANS apathy did not reach significance ($r(29)=-.015$, $p=.937$, and $r=-.001$, $p=.998$, respectively; see figure 3). To test for a difference between these two dependent correlations, we performed a Steiger's Z-test, which revealed that the correlation between BNSS diminished expression and percent signal change was significantly different from the correlation between BNSS apathy and percent signal change ($Z=-2.04$, $p=.041$). To confirm that other potentially confounding variables, i.e., depressive symptoms, chlorpromazine equivalents, and age, did not account for the correlation between BNSS diminished expression and activity in the ACC, we computed a partial correlation with the factors above included. The association between diminished expression and ACC activation remained significant ($r(24)=-.402$, $p=.042$).




Figure 3. Correlation between percent signal change in the ACC in the interaction contrast and diminished expression scores (A) and apathy scores (B). The two correlations differed significantly from each other, suggesting a stronger relation of diminished expression than apathy to the reward/cognition interaction.

Furthermore, we also found a significant correlation of ACC activation and BNSS diminished expression ($r(29)=-.434$, $p=.019$) when we defined the clusters based on the whole group (i.e. combined patients and controls) analysis, which underlines the robustness of this finding (see supplementary table 2). No other cluster from the whole group analysis showed a significant correlation with negative symptom dimensions.

We additionally performed an exploratory whole-brain ANCOVA with the standardized BNSS measures (diminished expression and apathy) as covariates in a whole brain analysis, but this analysis did not reveal any significant clusters.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the neural effects of reward modulation on working memory in patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls. On the neural level, we found evidence that reward modulation influences working memory in both groups. In the patient group, we found a negative correlation of activity in the ACC with the negative symptom factor diminished expression, but not with the factor apathy.

Across all subjects, our behavioral data suggest that participants processed both cognitive and reward factors of the task. We further found that apathy was significantly correlated with the reaction time in the 2-back relative to the 0-back condition and in the reward-cognition interaction, indicating that cognitive load and the integration of complex information increases reaction time in apathetic patients. On the neural level, the reward-cognition interaction led, among others, to significant activation of the rostral ACC. This region has been suggested to play an important role in controlling current demands, which are influenced by the presence of a potential reward or punishment (Holroyd and Yeung, 2012; Pessoa, 2009, 2008; Pessoa and Engelmann, 2010; Steenbergen et al., 2014). It is further assumed that the signal from the ACC is used to guide behavior via dense interconnections with cortical areas, such as the (pre-) motor cortex and the DLPFC (Haber and Knutson, 2009). In line with this hypothesis, we also observe three PFC clusters in the reward-cognition interaction contrast, which are part of the working memory network. Due to the reward at stake, the cognitive process leading to the harvest of the reward is prioritized, and cognitive resource capacities are allocated in order to maximize performance. Since we did not find any significant group differences, we believe that this process is generally functioning in patients with schizophrenia, at least at the relatively basic levels tested here.

However, within the patient group, we found a significant inverse correlation of the negative symptom factor diminished expression with activity in the rostral ACC related to the reward-cognition interaction. This correlation was specific for the factor diminished expression, because it was significantly different from the correlation with the factor apathy. The correlation remained significant after controlling for confounding variables. Since the ACC has been proposed to play a crucial role in controlling resource distribution and behavioral adaptation, we hypothesize that patients with more severe negative symptoms, in particular diminished expression, have difficulties in regulating their limited available processing resources to meet the current demand (Holroyd and Yeung, 2012; Pessoa, 2009; Steenbergen et al., 2014).

This idea is in line with the cognitive resource limitation model (Cohen et al., 2012, 2013, 2014a, 2014b). Cohen proposes that effective expression requires a range of mental resources. If these limited resources are engrossed by another task or process, they are not available for expressive behavior. Considering that patients with schizophrenia have lower cognitive abilities compared to healthy controls, the effects are magnified, since fewer resources are available in the first place. Our data suggest that patients with more pronounced diminished expression do not only have less cognitive resources available as proposed by Cohen (2012, 2013, 2014), but that they have a specific problem in adjusting resources according to their priority. In other words, potential reward fails to recruit additional cognitive resources, which in turn leads to diminished expressive behavior.

There are several limitations to our study. Since this was the first study to investigate the neural correlates of reward-cognition interaction, the hypotheses were relatively broad. Thus, the study has to be considered exploratory and requires replication. Furthermore, although

the antipsychotic medication did not have any statistical effects, further studies should elucidate whether these results can be generalized to unmedicated patients.

In conclusion, we found a specific inverse correlation of rostral ACC activation with the factor diminished expression. To our knowledge, this is the first study showing a specific correlation of neural activity with this factor, supporting the notion of separable neural bases for the two negative symptom dimensions. These findings highlight the need to further investigate the complex interaction of reward processing and cognition, with a particular focus on the adaptation of cognitive resources in schizophrenia and the relation to diminished expression.

5. References

- Addington, D., Addington, J., Maticka-Tyndale, E., 1993. Assessing depression in schizophrenia: The Calgary Depression Scale. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 163, 39–44.
- American Psychiatric Association, 2000. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)*. Washington, DC.
- Andreasen NC, 1982. Negative symptoms in schizophrenia: Definition and reliability. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 39, 784–788. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1982.04290070020005
- Azorin, J.-M., Belzeaux, R., Adida, M., 2014. Negative Symptoms in Schizophrenia: Where We have been and Where We are Heading. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* 20, 801–808. doi:10.1111/cns.12292
- Barch, D.M., Ceaser, A., 2012. Cognition in schizophrenia: core psychological and neural mechanisms. *Trends Cogn. Sci., Special Issue: Cognition in Neuropsychiatric Disorders* 16, 27–34. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2011.11.015
- Barch, D.M., Dowd, E.C., 2010. Goal Representations and Motivational Drive in Schizophrenia: The Role of Prefrontal–Striatal Interactions. *Schizophr. Bull.* 36, 919–934. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbq068
- Beck, S.M., Locke, H.S., Savine, A.C., Jimura, K., Braver, T.S., 2010. Primary and Secondary Rewards Differentially Modulate Neural Activity Dynamics during Working Memory. *PLoS ONE* 5, e9251. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009251
- Blanchard, J.J., Cohen, A.S., 2006. The Structure of Negative Symptoms Within Schizophrenia: Implications for Assessment. *Schizophr. Bull.* 32, 238–245. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbj013
- Braver, T.S., Krug, M.K., Chiew, K.S., Kool, W., Westbrook, J.A., Clement, N.J., Adcock, R.A., Barch, D.M., Botvinick, M.M., Carver, C.S., Cools, R., Custers, R., Dickinson, A., Dweck, C.S., Fishbach, A., Gollwitzer, P.M., Hess, T.M., Isaacowitz, D.M., Mather, M., Murayama, K., Pessoa, L., Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Somerville, L.H., Group, for the M., 2014. Mechanisms of motivation–cognition interaction: challenges and opportunities. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 14, 443–472. doi:10.3758/s13415-014-0300-0
- Cai, X., Padoa-Schioppa, C., 2014. Contributions of Orbitofrontal and Lateral Prefrontal Cortices to Economic Choice and the Good-to-Action Transformation. *Neuron* 81, 1140–1151. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.008
- Cohen, A.S., Kim, Y., Najolia, G.M., 2013. Psychiatric symptom versus neurocognitive correlates of diminished expressivity in schizophrenia and mood disorders. *Schizophr. Res.* 146, 249–253. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2013.02.002

- Cohen, A.S., McGovern, J.E., Dinzeo, T.J., Covington, M.A., 2014a. Speech deficits in serious mental illness: A cognitive resource issue? *Schizophr. Res.* 160, 173–179. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.10.032
- Cohen, A.S., Mitchell, K.R., Ellevåg, B., 2014b. What do we really know about blunted vocal affect and alogia? A meta-analysis of objective assessments. *Schizophr. Res.* doi:10.1016/j.schres.2014.09.013
- Cohen, A.S., Morrison, S.C., Brown, L.A., Minor, K.S., 2012. Towards a cognitive resource limitations model of diminished expression in schizotypy. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 121, 109–118. doi:10.1037/a0023599
- Delis, D., Kaplan, E., Kramer, J., 2001. Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS). The Psychological Corporation, San Antonio (TX).
- Foussias, G., Remington, G., 2010. Negative Symptoms in Schizophrenia: Avolition and Occam's Razor. *Schizophr. Bull.* 36, 359–369. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbn094
- Frances, A., Pincus, H.A., First, M.B., 1994. The global assessment of functioning scale (GAF), in: *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder IV*.
- Haber, S.N., Knutson, B., 2009. The Reward Circuit: Linking Primate Anatomy and Human Imaging. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 4–26. doi:10.1038/npp.2009.129
- Helmstaedter, C., Durwen, H.F., 1990. VLMT: Verbaler Lern- und Merkfähigkeitstest: Ein praktikables und differenziertes Instrumentarium zur Prüfung der verbalen Gedächtnisleistungen. *Schweiz. Arch. Für Neurol. Psychiatr.* 141, 21–30.
- Holroyd, C.B., Yeung, N., 2012. Motivation of extended behaviors by anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 16, 122–128. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2011.12.008
- Juckel, G., Schlagenhauf, F., Koslowski, M., Wüstenberg, T., Villringer, A., Knutson, B., Wrase, J., Heinz, A., 2006. Dysfunction of ventral striatal reward prediction in schizophrenia. *NeuroImage* 29, 409–416. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.07.051
- Kaping, D., Vinck, M., Hutchison, R.M., Everling, S., Womelsdorf, T., 2011. Specific Contributions of Ventromedial, Anterior Cingulate, and Lateral Prefrontal Cortex for Attentional Selection and Stimulus Valuation. *PLoS Biol* 9, e1001224. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001224
- Kay, S.R., Fliszbein, A., Opfer, L.A., 1987. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for Schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 13, 261–276.
- Kennerley, S.W., Wallis, J.D., 2009. Reward-Dependent Modulation of Working Memory in Lateral Prefrontal Cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 3259–3270. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5353-08.2009
- Kennerley, S.W., Walton, M.E., 2011. Decision making and reward in frontal cortex: Complementary evidence from neurophysiological and neuropsychological studies.

Behav. Neurosci. 125, 297–317. doi:10.1037/a0023575

Kessels, R.P.C., van Zandvoort, M.J.E., Postma, A., Kappelle, L.J., de Haan, E.H.F., 2000. The Corsi Block-Tapping Task: Standardization and Normative Data. *Appl. Neuropsychol.* 7, 252–258. doi:10.1207/S15324826AN0704_8

Kirkpatrick, B., Fenton, W.S., Carpenter, W.T., Marder, S.R., 2006. The NIMH-MATRICES Consensus Statement on Negative Symptoms. *Schizophr. Bull.* 32, 214–219. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbj053

Krawczyk, D.C., Gazzaley, A., D'Esposito, M., 2007. Reward modulation of prefrontal and visual association cortex during an incentive working memory task. *Brain Res.* 1141, 168–177. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2007.01.052

Krebs, R.M., Boehler, C.N., Roberts, K.C., Song, A.W., Woldorff, M.G., 2012. The Involvement of the Dopaminergic Midbrain and Cortico-Striatal-Thalamic Circuits in the Integration of Reward Prospect and Attentional Task Demands. *Cereb. Cortex* 22, 607–615. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhr134

Kring, A.M., Barch, D.M., 2014. The motivation and pleasure dimension of negative symptoms: Neural substrates and behavioral outputs. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.*, Negative symptoms of schizophrenia: clinical characteristics and their measurement, experimental modelling, and opportunities for improved treatment 24, 725–736. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2013.06.007

Liemburg, E., Castelein, S., Stewart, R., van der Gaag, M., Aleman, A., Knegtering, H., 2013. Two subdomains of negative symptoms in psychotic disorders: Established and confirmed in two large cohorts. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 47, 718–725. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.01.024

Lin, C.-H., Huang, C.-L., Chang, Y.-C., Chen, P.-W., Lin, C.-Y., Tsai, G.E., Lane, H.-Y., 2013. Clinical symptoms, mainly negative symptoms, mediate the influence of neurocognition and social cognition on functional outcome of schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 146, 231–237. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2013.02.009

Locke, H.S., Braver, T.S., 2008. Motivational influences on cognitive control: Behavior, brain activation, and individual differences. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 8, 99–112. doi:10.3758/CABN.8.1.99

Maldjian, J.A., Laurienti, P.J., Burdette, J.H., 2004. Precentral gyrus discrepancy in electronic versions of the Talairach atlas. *NeuroImage* 21, 450–455. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.09.032

Maldjian, J.A., Laurienti, P.J., Kraft, R.A., Burdette, J.H., 2003. An automated method for neuroanatomic and cytoarchitectonic atlas-based interrogation of fMRI data sets. *NeuroImage* 19, 1233–1239. doi:10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00169-1

Manoach, D.S., 2003. Prefrontal cortex dysfunction during working memory performance in schizophrenia: reconciling discrepant findings. *Schizophr. Res.* 60,

285–298. doi:10.1016/S0920-9964(02)00294-3

Messinger, J.W., Trémeau, F., Antonius, D., Mendelsohn, E., Prudent, V., Stanford, A.D., Malaspina, D., 2011. Avolition and expressive deficits capture negative symptom phenomenology: Implications for DSM-5 and schizophrenia research. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 31, 161–168. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2010.09.002

Milev, P., Ho, B.-C., Arndt, S., Andreasen, N.C., 2005. Predictive Values of Neurocognition and Negative Symptoms on Functional Outcome in Schizophrenia: A Longitudinal First-Episode Study With 7-Year Follow-Up. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 162, 495–506. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.3.495

Nielsen, M.Ø., Rostrup, E., Wulff, S., Bak, N., Lublin, H., Kapur, S., Glenthøj, B., 2012. Alterations of the Brain Reward System in Antipsychotic Naïve Schizophrenia Patients. *Biol. Psychiatry, Altered Functional Connectivity in Schizophrenia* 71, 898–905. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.02.007

Owen, A.M., McMillan, K.M., Laird, A.R., Bullmore, E., 2005. N-back working memory paradigm: A meta-analysis of normative functional neuroimaging studies. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 25, 46–59. doi:10.1002/hbm.20131

Pessoa, L., 2008. On the relationship between emotion and cognition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 148–158. doi:10.1038/nrn2317

Pessoa, L., 2009. How do emotion and motivation direct executive control? *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 13, 160–166. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2009.01.006

Pessoa, L., Engelmann, J.B., 2010. Embedding Reward Signals into Perception and Cognition. *Front. Neurosci.* 4. doi:10.3389/fnins.2010.00017

Pochon, J.B., Levy, R., Fossati, P., Lehericy, S., Poline, J.B., Pillon, B., Bihan, D.L., Dubois, B., 2002. The neural system that bridges reward and cognition in humans: An fMRI study. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 99, 5669–5674. doi:10.1073/pnas.082111099

Rabinowitz, J., Levine, S.Z., Garibaldi, G., Bugarski-Kirola, D., Berardo, C.G., Kapur, S., 2012. Negative symptoms have greater impact on functioning than positive symptoms in schizophrenia: Analysis of CATIE data. *Schizophr. Res.* 137, 147–150. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2012.01.015

Rowe, J.B., Eckstein, D., Braver, T., Owen, A.M., 2008. How Does Reward Expectation Influence Cognition in the Human Brain? *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 20, 1980–1992. doi:10.1162/jocn.2008.20140

Schaub, D., Juckel, G., 2011. PSP-Skala – Deutsche Version der Personal and Social Performance Scale : Validiertes Messinstrument zur Erfassung des psychosozialen Funktionsniveaus in der Schizophrenietherapie (Originalien). *Nervenarzt* 82, 1178–1184.

Schlagenhauf, F., Juckel, G., Koslowski, M., Kahnt, T., Knutson, B., Dembler, T.,

- Kienast, T., Gallinat, J., Wrase, J., Heinz, A., 2008. Reward system activation in schizophrenic patients switched from typical neuroleptics to olanzapine. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 196, 673–684. doi:10.1007/s00213-007-1016-4
- Shallice, T., 1982. Specific Impairments of Planning. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 298, 199–209. doi:10.1098/rstb.1982.0082
- Sheehan, D., Lecrubier, Y., Harnett Sheehan, K., Janavs, J., Weiller, E., Keskiner, A., Schinka, J., Knapp, E., Sheehan, M., Dunbar, G., 1997. The validity of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) according to the SCID-P and its reliability. *Eur. Psychiatry* 12, 232–241. doi:10.1016/S0924-9338(97)83297-X
- Simon, J.J., Biller, A., Walther, S., Roesch-Ely, D., Stippich, C., Weisbrod, M., Kaiser, S., 2010. Neural correlates of reward processing in schizophrenia — Relationship to apathy and depression. *Schizophr. Res.* 118, 154–161. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2009.11.007
- Simpson, G.M., B., M., B., G., Angus, J.W.S., P., F.R.C., M., D.P., 1970. A Rating Scale for Extrapyramidal Side Effects. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 45, 11–19. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.1970.tb02066.x
- Steenbergen, H. van, Band, G.P.H., Hommel, B., Rombouts, S.A.R.B., Nieuwenhuis, S., 2014. Hedonic Hotspots Regulate Cingulate-driven Adaptation to Cognitive Demands. *Cereb. Cortex* bht416. doi:10.1093/cercor/bht416
- Stieglitz, R.-D., 2000. WMS-R. Wechsler Gedächtnistest - revidierte Fassung. *Z. Für Klin. Psychol. Psychother.* 29, 307–308. doi:10.1026//0084-5345.29.4.307
- Strauss, G.P., Hong, L.E., Gold, J.M., Buchanan, R.W., McMahon, R.P., Keller, W.R., Fischer, B.A., Catalano, L.T., Culbreth, A.J., Carpenter, W.T., Kirkpatrick, B., 2012. Factor structure of the brief negative symptom scale. *Schizophr. Res.* 142, 96–98. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2012.09.007
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., Mazoyer, B., Joliot, M., 2002. Automated Anatomical Labeling of Activations in SPM Using a Macroscopic Anatomical Parcellation of the MNI MRI Single-Subject Brain. *NeuroImage* 15, 273–289. doi:10.1006/nimg.2001.0978
- Vassena, E., Silvetti, M., Boehler, C.N., Achten, E., Fias, W., Verguts, T., 2014. Overlapping Neural Systems Represent Cognitive Effort and Reward Anticipation. *PLoS ONE* 9, e91008. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091008
- Ventura, J., Helleman, G.S., Thames, A.D., Koellner, V., Nuechterlein, K.H., 2009. Symptoms as mediators of the relationship between neurocognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res.* 113, 189–199. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2009.03.035
- Ventura, J., Wood, R.C., Helleman, G.S., 2013. Symptom Domains and Neurocognitive Functioning Can Help Differentiate Social Cognitive Processes in

Schizophrenia: A Meta-Analysis. *Schizophr. Bull.* 39, 102–111.
doi:10.1093/schbul/sbr067

Von Aster, M., Neubauer, A., Horn, R., 2006. Wechsler Intelligenztest für Erwachsene (WIE). Deutschsprachige Bearbeitung und Adaptation des WAIS-III von David Wechsler. Harcourt Test Services, Frankfurt.

Waltz, J.A., Schweitzer, J.B., Gold, J.M., Kurup, P.K., Ross, T.J., Jo Salmeron, B., Rose, E.J., McClure, S.M., Stein, E.A., 2008. Patients with Schizophrenia have a Reduced Neural Response to Both Unpredictable and Predictable Primary Reinforcers. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 1567–1577. doi:10.1038/npp.2008.214

Watanabe, M., 2007. Role of anticipated reward in cognitive behavioral control. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol., Cognitive neuroscience* 17, 213–219.
doi:10.1016/j.conb.2007.02.007

Whitefield-Gabrieli, S., 2009. REX Toolbox.

**Reward-Dependent Modulation of Working Memory is associated with Negative
Symptoms in Schizophrenia**

Supplementary Material


Content

**Supplementary Figure 1: Mean parameter estimates of the activation in the
rACC 2**

Supplementary Table 1: Whole brain analyses..... 3

**Supplementary Table 2: Correlation analyses based on whole group interaction
contrast 4**

Supplementary Figure 1: Mean parameter estimates of the activation in the rACC



Supplementary Table 1: Whole brain analyses

Full sample	x	y	z	cluster size	t
right superior frontal gyrus	17	21	58	996	6.13
Healthy controls	x	y	z	cluster size	t
no significant activation	-	-	-	-	-
Patients with schizophrenia	x	y	z	cluster size	t
right superior frontal gyrus	22	15	56	729	7.21
middle occipital gyrus	-27	-89	12	2824	6.51

Supplementary table 1. Whole brain analysis of the reward-cognition interaction contrast, family wise error corrected FWE p <.05, across all subjects

Supplementary Table 2: Correlation analyses based on whole group interaction contrast

	rSFG [17,21,58]	ISFG [-18,33,42]	rACC [9,44,1]	mSFG [8,68,18]
BNSS Dim. Expr.	$r = -.023$ $p = .906$	$r = -.057$ $p = .767$	$r = -.434$ $p = .019$	$r = -.204$ $p = .289$
BNSS Apathy	$r = -.058$ $p = .767$	$r = .145$ $p = .452$	$r = .029$ $p = .880$	$r = -.030$ $p = .879$

Supplementary table 2. Correlation of the parameter estimates of all activated clusters in the whole group reward-cognition interaction contrast with the two negative symptom factors.